

AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF DIFFERENTIABLE  
DOUBLE LOOPS

*Dedicated to Karl H. Hofmann, on the occasion of his 60th birthday*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study local and global topological loops as well as topological double loops having a differentiable structure such that the loop operations are differentiable. The main result states that the group of differentiable automorphisms of a differentiable double loop is compact with respect to the compact-open topology.

The automorphism group  $\Gamma$  of a locally compact connected double loop  $\mathcal{D}$  is a locally compact topological group, where *the group  $\Gamma$  will always be provided with the compact-open topology*. For a proof of this result see [1]. If  $\mathcal{D}$  is even a Cartesian field, in particular if  $\mathcal{D}$  is one of the classical double loops  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{H}$ , or  $\mathbb{O}$ , then  $\Gamma$  is a compact Lie group. In general, it is an open problem whether the group  $\Gamma$  is compact or a Lie group. But the four classical double loops are not merely topological double loops; they also possess a differentiable structure such that the loop operations are differentiable. In a recent paper, J. Kozma has shown that the automorphism group of a  $\mathbb{C}^2$ -loop can be embedded into a linear group (see [7] or Theorem (2.1) below). The aim of this paper is to give an appropriate definition of a differentiable double loop and to prove that the automorphism group of such a double loop can be embedded as a closed subgroup into a linear group. Using this embedding theorem, we are able to verify the compactness of the automorphism group.

1. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION

(1.1) DEFINITION. A quadruple  $\mathcal{L} = (L, U, 0, +)$  is called a *local H-space* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $L$  is a topological space.
- (2)  $U$  is an open neighborhood of the element  $0 \in L$ .
- (3) There exists an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $0$  in  $U$  such that the map  $+: V \times V \rightarrow U$  is continuous.
- (4)  $x + 0 = 0 + x = x$  for every  $x \in V$ .

The neighborhood  $V$  is called the *support of  $\mathcal{L}$* .

A local  $H$ -space  $\mathcal{L} = (L, U, 0, +)$  with support  $V$  is called a *local loop* iff the following statements hold:

- (5a) For all  $a, x, y \in V$  the equation  $a + x = a + y \in U$  implies that  $x = y$ .  
 (5b) For all  $a, x, y \in V$  the equation  $x + a = y + a \in U$  implies that  $x = y$ .

Note that in the definition of a local loop we do not require that the local inverses of the operation  $+$  are continuous.

(1.2) DEFINITION. A local  $H$ -space  $\mathcal{L} = (L, U, 0, +)$  is called a *smooth local  $H$ -space of dimension  $n$*  iff

- (1)  $U$  is an  $n$ -dimensional  $\mathbf{C}^2$ -manifold,  
 (2) there is an open neighborhood  $V \subseteq U$  of  $0$  such that  $+: V \times V \rightarrow U$  is a  $\mathbf{C}^2$ -mapping.

The neighborhood  $V$  is again called the *support* of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

A local loop  $\mathcal{L} = (L, U, 0, +)$  is called a *smooth local loop* iff  $\mathcal{L}$  is a smooth local  $H$ -space.

Note that if  $\mathcal{L} = (L, U, 0, +)$  is a smooth local loop, then  $\mathcal{L} = (L, U', 0, +)$  is also a smooth local loop for any neighborhood  $U' \subseteq U$  of the element  $0$ .

The following result of J. P. Holmes and A. A. Sagle ([4, Th. 1.1]) shows that in the differentiable case the notion of a local  $H$ -space coincides with the notion of a local loop.

(1.3) THEOREM. *A smooth local  $H$ -space is always a (smooth) local loop.*

(1.4) DEFINITION. Let  $\mathcal{L} = (L, U, 0, +)$  be a smooth local loop of dimension  $n$  with support  $V$ . A  $\mathbf{C}^2$ -diffeomorphism  $h: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying  $h(0) = 0$  is called a *smooth coordinate system* of  $\mathcal{L}$ . A smooth coordinate system  $h$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  is called *canonical* iff there is a star-shaped neighborhood  $S \subseteq h(V)$  of  $0$  such that for every  $x \in S$  the relation

$$h^{-1}(x) + h^{-1}(x) = h^{-1}(2x)$$

is satisfied.

The main tool for our investigations is a recent result by J. Kozma, which we state explicitly.

(1.5) THEOREM. *Every smooth local loop has a smooth canonical coordinate system.*

For a proof see [6, Th. 1].

(1.6) DEFINITION. Let  $\mathcal{L} = (L, U, 0, +)$  be a smooth local loop of dimension  $n$  with support  $V$ . Let  $h$  be a smooth canonical coordinate system of  $\mathcal{L}$  according to Theorem (1.5). Set  $\mathcal{U} := h(U)$  and  $\mathcal{V} := h(V)$ . Then

$$\oplus: \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}: (h(x), h(y)) \mapsto h(x + y)$$

defines a  $\mathbf{C}^2$ -mapping  $\oplus$ , and  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{U}, 0, \oplus)$  becomes a smooth local loop of dimension  $n$  with support  $\mathcal{V}$ . This loop is called the *induced loop* of the canonical coordinate system  $h$ .

(1.7) DEFINITION. Let  $\mathcal{L} = (L, U, 0, +)$  and  $\mathcal{L}' = (L', U', 0', +')$  be smooth local loops with supports  $V$  and  $V'$  respectively. A map  $\gamma: W \rightarrow V'$  which is defined on a neighborhood  $W \subseteq V$  of 0 is called a *homomorphism* iff it is a  $\mathbf{C}^1$ -mapping (cf. the remark after (2.1)) satisfying  $\gamma(x + y) = \gamma(x) + \gamma(y)$  for all  $x, y \in W$ .

If  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}'$  and if  $\gamma$  is an injective homomorphism of  $\mathcal{L}$  such that the inverse mapping  $\gamma^{-1}$  is also a homomorphism of  $\mathcal{L}$ , then the map  $\gamma$  is called an *automorphism* of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Two automorphisms  $\gamma, \gamma'$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  are called *equivalent* if and only if there is an open neighborhood  $W$  of 0 such that  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  coincide on  $W$ .

(1.8) NOTATION. Let  $\mathcal{L} = (L, U, 0, +)$  be a smooth local loop. The set of all automorphisms of  $\mathcal{L}$  is denoted by  $\text{Aut}_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L})$ . For  $\gamma \in \text{Aut}_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L})$  the set of all automorphisms of  $\mathcal{L}$  equivalent to  $\gamma$  is denoted by  $[\gamma]$ . The set valued map  $[\ ]$  defines an equivalence relation on  $\text{Aut}_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L})$ . Setting  $\text{Aut}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{L}) := \text{Aut}_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L}) / [\ ]$ , it is easily verified that  $[\gamma_1][\gamma_2] := [\gamma_1 \gamma_2]$  defines a group operation on  $\text{Aut}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{L})$ .

(1.9) DEFINITION. Let  $\mathcal{L} = (L, 0, +)$  be a (global) topological loop in the ordinary sense, see [3], e.g. If there is an open neighborhood  $U \subseteq L$  of the neutral element 0 such that  $\mathcal{L}_U = (L, U, 0, +)$  is a smooth local loop, the local loop  $\mathcal{L}_U$  is called a *localization* of the (global) loop  $\mathcal{L}$ . The loop  $\mathcal{L}$  itself is then called a *smooth loop*.

(1.10) DEFINITION. Let  $\mathcal{L} = (L, 0, +)$  be a smooth loop. Let  $\gamma$  be a (global) continuous automorphism of  $\mathcal{L}$ . The automorphism  $\gamma$  is called *locally smooth* if there is a localization  $\mathcal{L}_U$  such that the restriction of  $\gamma$  to  $U$  lies in the set  $\text{Aut}_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L}_U)$ . The set of all locally smooth automorphisms of  $\mathcal{L}$  is denoted by  $\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L})$ .

Clearly, this set is a subgroup of the group  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$  of all continuous automorphism of  $\mathcal{L}$ . The group  $\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L})$  becomes a topological transformation group on  $\mathcal{L}$  when provided with the compact-open topology. This topology coincides with the relative topology induced by the compact-open

topology of  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$ . We shall always take the group  $\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L})$  with the compact-open topology.

## 2. RESULTS

In our study of the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L})$  of a smooth loop  $\mathcal{L}$ , i.e. of a loop  $\mathcal{L}$  having a smooth localization, we shall often use the following fact, which again was proved by J. Kozma, see [7, Th., p. 500].

(2.1) THEOREM. *Let  $\mathcal{L} = (L, U, 0, +)$  be a smooth local loop of dimension  $n$ . Let  $h$  be a canonical coordinate system with induced loop  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{U}, 0, \oplus)$ . Let  $\gamma \in \text{Aut}_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L})$  and let  $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  denote the domain of the mapping*

$$\Phi_\gamma: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n: x \mapsto h\gamma h^{-1}(x).$$

*Then the set  $\mathcal{W}$  is an open neighborhood of 0, and the relation  $\Phi_\gamma = \Phi_{\gamma'}(0)|_{\mathcal{W}}$  holds.*

REMARK. Theorem (2.1) shows that an automorphism in  $\text{Aut}_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L})$  is in fact a local  $\mathbf{C}^2$ -mapping, and so it is justified to call the elements of  $\text{Aut}_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L})$  smooth. More general, if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $\mathbf{C}^k$ -loop for  $k \geq 2$ , then an automorphism in  $\text{Aut}_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L})$  is indeed a  $\mathbf{C}^k$ -mapping.

(2.2) COROLLARY. *Let  $\mathcal{L} = (L, U, 0, +)$  be a smooth local loop of dimension  $n$  with canonical coordinate system  $h$ . Then the map*

$$\Phi: \text{Aut}_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n \mathbb{R}: [\gamma] \mapsto \Phi_\gamma'(0)$$

*is an embedding of groups.*

*Proof.* The map  $\Phi$  is well-defined by Theorem (2.1). Let  $[\gamma_1], [\gamma_2] \in \text{Aut}_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathcal{L})$ . If  $\Phi([\gamma_1]) = \Phi([\gamma_2])$ , then by Theorem (2.1) there exists a neighborhood  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  of 0 satisfying

$$h\gamma_1 h^{-1}|_{\mathcal{O}} = \Phi_{\gamma_1}'(0)|_{\mathcal{O}} = \Phi_{\gamma_2}'(0)|_{\mathcal{O}} = h\gamma_2 h^{-1}|_{\mathcal{O}}.$$

Setting  $\mathcal{O} := h^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ , this implies that  $h\gamma_1$  and  $h\gamma_2$  coincide on  $\mathcal{O}$ . Since  $h$  is injective on  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq U$ , we conclude that  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  coincide on  $\mathcal{O}$ . This means that  $[\gamma_1] = [\gamma_2]$ , because  $\mathcal{O} = h^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$  is a neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathcal{L}$ . This proves the injectivity of  $\Phi$ . The map  $\Phi$  is a group homomorphism because

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi([\gamma_1][\gamma_2]) &= \Phi([\gamma_1\gamma_2]) = (h(\gamma_1\gamma_2)h^{-1})'(0) = ((h\gamma_1 h^{-1})(h\gamma_2 h^{-1}))'(0) \\ &= (h\gamma_1 h^{-1})'(0) \cdot (h\gamma_2 h^{-1})'(0) = \Phi([\gamma_1]) \cdot \Phi([\gamma_2]). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the mapping  $\Phi$  is an embedding of groups.

Next we investigate the case of a connected loop  $\mathcal{L} = (L, 0, +)$  which has a

smooth localization  $\mathcal{L}_U$  of dimension  $n$ . It turns out that the group  $\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L})$  can be embedded in  $\text{GL}_n\mathbb{R}$  even as a topological group.

(2.3) COROLLARY. *Let  $\mathcal{L} = (L, 0, +)$  be a connected loop which has a smooth localization  $\mathcal{L}_U$  of dimension  $n$ . Then the map*

$$\Psi : \text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n\mathbb{R} : \gamma \mapsto \Phi_\gamma'(0)$$

is an embedding of topological groups.

*Proof.* Let  $h$  be a canonical coordinate system of  $\mathcal{L}_U$  with induced loop  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{U}, 0, \oplus)$ . We shall divide the proof into three steps. In step (1) we describe an appropriate neighborhood basis of the identity in  $\text{GL}_n\mathbb{R}$ , which we need in steps (2) and (3), where the continuity and the openness of the mapping  $\Psi$  is proved.

- (1) The family of sets  $\Omega(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}) = \{g \in \text{GL}_n\mathbb{R}; g(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}\}$  with  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  is compact and  $\mathcal{O}$  is an open set, constitutes a neighborhood basis of the identity element  $\mathbb{1} \in \text{GL}_n\mathbb{R}$ .

This follows immediately from the definition of the compact-open topology and linearity.

- (2) The map  $\Psi : \text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n\mathbb{R} : \gamma \mapsto \Phi_\gamma'(0) = (h\gamma h^{-1})'(0)$  is continuous.

Since the loop  $\mathcal{L}$  is connected, it is generated by any neighborhood  $W$  of  $L$ , i.e. the smallest closed subloop of  $\mathcal{L}$  containing  $W$  is  $\mathcal{L}$  itself, see [3, (3.1), (3.2)]. Thus, two automorphisms of  $\mathcal{L}$  coincide, if they coincide on an arbitrary neighborhood (of 0) and hence  $\Psi$  is a group monomorphism by Corollary (2.2). So it remains to verify the continuity of  $\Psi$  at the identity. For that, select a neighborhood  $\Omega(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$  of  $\mathbb{1} \in \text{GL}_n\mathbb{R}$ . By step (1) we may assume that  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . To check the continuity of  $\Psi$  at the identity map, we shall verify the inclusion

$$\Psi(\Omega(h^{-1}(\mathcal{K}), h^{-1}(\mathcal{O}))) \subseteq \Omega(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}).$$

Put  $K := h^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$  and  $O := h^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ . An arbitrary element  $\gamma \in \Omega(K, O) \subseteq \text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L})$  satisfies the relations

$$\gamma(K) \subseteq O \subseteq h^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) =: U$$

and

$$h\gamma h^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{U}.$$

Hence, the set  $\mathcal{K}$  is contained in the domain  $\mathcal{W}$  of the map  $h\gamma h^{-1}$ . By Theorem (2.1) this implies

$$h\gamma h^{-1}|_{\mathcal{K}} = (h\gamma h^{-1})'(0)|_{\mathcal{K}}$$

and

$$\Psi(\gamma)(\mathcal{K}) = (h\gamma h^{-1})(0)(\mathcal{K}) = h\gamma h^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq h(h^{-1}(\mathcal{O})) = \mathcal{O}.$$

In particular,

$$\Psi(\gamma) \in \Omega(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$$

holds, and thus assertion (2) is proved.

(3)  $\Psi: \text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \Psi(\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L}))$  is an open map.

Let  $\tau_c$  denote the compact-open topology on  $\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L})$  and let  $\tau_i$  denote the initial topology on  $\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L})$  with respect to the map  $\Psi: \text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n \mathbb{R}$ . Set  $\Gamma := (\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L}), \tau_i)$ . Then  $\Psi$  is a homeomorphism of  $\Gamma$  onto  $\Psi(\Gamma)$  by Corollary (2.2). In order to verify the openness of  $\Psi$ , we show that the topology  $\tau_i$  is finer than the compact-open topology  $\tau_c$ . Since  $L$  is locally compact, the topology  $\tau_c$  is the coarsest topology on  $L$  such that the evaluation mapping

$$\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L}) \times L \rightarrow L: (\gamma, x) \mapsto \gamma(x)$$

is continuous, see, e.g., [5, p. 224]. Hence it suffices to verify the continuity of the mapping  $\eta: \Gamma \times L \rightarrow L: (\gamma, x) \mapsto \gamma(x)$ . The group  $\Gamma$  has a countable base since it is a subgroup of  $\text{GL}_n \mathbb{R}$ . So, it suffices to verify the sequential continuity of  $\eta$ .

For this, choose a compact neighborhood  $\mathcal{C}$  of 0 such that  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{U}$ , and select an open star-shaped neighborhood  $\mathcal{O}$  of 0 satisfying  $\bar{\mathcal{O}} \subset \mathcal{C}^\circ$ . Furthermore, let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a compact star-shaped neighborhood of 0 with  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{O}$ . Set  $C := h^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $K := h^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$ . Then  $\Omega := \Psi^{-1}(\Omega(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}))$  is a neighborhood of  $\mathbb{1}$  in  $\Gamma$ . Let  $W_\gamma$  denote the domain of the map  $\gamma \in \Omega$ . The next step is to verify the inclusion

$$K \subseteq W := \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Omega} W_\gamma.$$

Suppose that this inclusion does not hold. Then there would exist an automorphism  $\gamma \in \Omega$  and an element  $x \in K \setminus W_\gamma$ . This would imply that  $\gamma(x) \notin U$  by Theorem (2.1). Set  $y := h(x)$  and consider the path  $\rho: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n: t \mapsto t \cdot y$  connecting the element 0 and  $y$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}$  is star-shaped and  $y = h(x)$  lies in  $h(K) = \mathcal{K}$ , this implies that  $\rho([0, 1])$  lies in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Hence  $h^{-1}\rho$  is a path from 0 to  $x = h^{-1}(y)$  which is contained in  $U$ . Moreover, the map  $\gamma h^{-1}\rho$  is a path between 0 and  $\gamma h^{-1}(y) \notin U$ . Because  $\partial C$  separates the point 0 from  $L \setminus U$ , there is thus an element  $t_c \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$\gamma h^{-1}(t_c \cdot y) \in \partial C \subseteq U.$$

In particular, this implies  $t_c \cdot y \in W_\gamma$  and  $\Phi_\gamma(t_c \cdot y) = h\gamma h^{-1}(t_c \cdot y) \in \partial\mathcal{C}$ . On the other hand, by Theorem (2.1) we have

$$\Phi_\gamma(t_c \cdot y) = \Phi_\gamma'(0)(t_c \cdot y) = \Psi(\gamma)(t_c \cdot y) = t_c \cdot \Psi(\gamma)(y).$$

Now  $y \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $\Psi(\gamma) \in \Omega$  imply that  $\Psi(\gamma)(y)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{O}$ . Because  $\mathcal{O}$  is star-shaped, the last computation shows that  $\Phi_\gamma(t_c \cdot y)$  lies in  $\mathcal{O}$ . But this contradicts the fact that  $\mathcal{O} \cap \partial\mathcal{C} = \emptyset$  holds. Hence, this proves the inclusion  $K \subseteq W$ .

In particular, the set  $W$  is a neighborhood of the element 0. Now we proceed to verify the continuity of the map  $\eta$  on  $\Omega \times W^\circ$ . For this choose an element  $x \in W^\circ$  and let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $L$  satisfying  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ . Furthermore, select an automorphism  $\gamma \in \Omega$  and let  $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\Gamma$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = \gamma$ . Since  $W^\circ$  and  $\Omega$  are open sets, we may choose the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $W$  and the sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\Omega$ . Noting that the evaluation map of  $GL_n \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, this implies

$$\begin{aligned} h\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta(\gamma_n, x_n)\right) &= h\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n(x_n)\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h\gamma_n(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h\gamma_n h^{-1}h(x_n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{\gamma_n}(h(x_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\gamma_n)(h(x_n)) = \Psi(\gamma)(h(x)) \\ &= \Phi_\gamma(h(x)) = h(\gamma(x)), \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta(\gamma_n, x_n) = \gamma(x)$  holds. Hence the evaluation map  $\eta$  is continuous at  $(\gamma, x)$ .

Now select an element  $\gamma \in \Omega$  and a sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\Omega$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = \gamma$ , where the limit is taken with respect to the topology  $\tau_i$ . The set  $R := \{x \in L; \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n(x) = \gamma(x)\}$  is a subloop of  $L$  which contains the open set  $W^\circ$ . By [3, (3.1), (3.2)], this implies that  $R$  is an open as well as a closed subset of  $L$ . Thus we have  $R = L$ , since  $L$  is connected. We shall use this identity to verify the continuity of  $\eta$  on the whole set  $\Gamma \times L$ . For this fix a pair  $(\gamma, x) \in \Gamma \times L$  and select sequences  $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\Gamma$  and  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $L$  satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = \gamma, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x.$$

The equations  $\gamma_n = \gamma\lambda_n$  and  $x_n = x + y_n$  uniquely determine sequences  $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\Gamma$  and  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $L$  satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \mathbb{1}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = 0,$$

where we may assume without loss of generality that  $y_n \in W^\circ$  holds for all

$n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using the continuity of  $\eta$  at the points of  $\{0\} \times W^\circ$  and remembering the identity  $R=L$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta(\gamma_n, x_n) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma \lambda_n(x + y_n) = \gamma \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_n(x) + \lambda_n(y_n)) \right) \\ &= \gamma \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n(x) \right) + \gamma \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n(y_n) \right) = \gamma(x) + \gamma(0) = \gamma(x). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that the evaluation map  $\eta$  is continuous at  $(\gamma, x)$ . Thus  $\Psi$  is a homeomorphism between  $\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\Psi(\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L}))$ , and the proof is complete.

Knowing that the group  $\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L})$  is embedded as a topological group into  $\text{GL}_n \mathbb{R}$ , the question arises of how the action of  $\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L})$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  is related with the action of  $\Psi(\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L}))$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

(2.4) LEMMA. *Let  $\mathcal{L} = (L, 0, +)$  be a smooth loop of dimension  $n$ . Let  $h: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a canonical coordinate system of  $\mathcal{L}$  according to Theorem (1.5) with induced loop  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{U}, 0, \oplus)$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}^* \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  be a star-shaped neighborhood of 0. Let  $\Psi: \text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n \mathbb{R}$  be the embedding defined in Corollary (2.3). Let  $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L})$  and let  $u \in h^{-1}(\mathcal{U}^*) \subseteq U$ . Then the following statements are equivalent*

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n(u) = 0$ .
- (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\gamma_n)(h(u)) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{W}_n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  denote the domain of the map  $\Phi_{\gamma_n}$  and set  $W_n := h^{-1}(\mathcal{W}_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . First, let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n(u) = 0$ . We may assume that  $\gamma_n(u) \in U$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Theorem (2.1) this implies that  $u \in W_n$  and thus  $h(u) \in \mathcal{W}_n$  holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consequently, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\gamma_n)(h(u)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{\gamma_n}(h(u)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h\gamma_n(u) = h \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n(u) \right) = h(0) = 0.$$

Conversely, assume that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\gamma_n)(h(u)) = 0$ . Set  $v := h(u)$ . Choose a compact neighborhood  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{U}^*$  of 0 and set  $C := h^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$ . The map  $\rho: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n: t \mapsto t \cdot v$  is a path from 0 to  $v$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}^*$  is star-shaped,  $\rho([0, 1]) \subset \mathcal{U}^*$  and thus  $h^{-1}\rho$  is a path starting in 0 and ending in  $h^{-1}(v)$ , which is contained in  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{U}^*) \subseteq U$ .

The element  $u$  is contained in almost all  $W_n$ , for otherwise there is a sequence  $(n_k)$  of integers such that  $u \notin W_{n_k}$ . By Theorem (2.1) this implies that  $\gamma_{n_k}(u) \notin U$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now  $\gamma_{n_k} h^{-1}\rho$  is a path between 0 and  $\gamma_{n_k}(u)$ . Since the element  $\gamma_{n_k}(u)$  is not contained in  $U$ , and because the set  $\partial C$  separates the



element 0 and the set  $L \setminus U$ , there exists some element  $t_k \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\gamma_{n_k} h^{-1}(t_k \cdot v) \in \partial C$ . Because of  $\partial \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{U}$ , this implies that  $t_k \cdot v \in \mathcal{W}_{n_k}$ , and hence we obtain

$$\Psi(\gamma_{n_k})(t_k \cdot v) = \Phi_{\gamma_{n_k}}(t_k \cdot v) = h(\gamma_{n_k} h^{-1}(t_k \cdot v)) \in h(\partial C) = \partial \mathcal{C}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\gamma_{n_k})(t_k \cdot h(u)) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k \cdot \Psi(\gamma_{n_k})(h(u)) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k \cdot \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\gamma_{n_k})(h(u)) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k \cdot 0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts  $0 \notin \overline{\partial \mathcal{C}}$ . Hence  $u$  is contained in almost all neighborhoods  $W_n$  and by Theorem (2.1) we finally conclude that

$$h \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n(u) \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h\gamma_n(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{\gamma_n}(h(u)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\gamma_n)(h(u)) = 0.$$

(2.5) DEFINITION. Let  $\mathcal{D} = (D, 0, 1, +, \circ)$  be a topological double loop. If the additive loop of  $\mathcal{D}$  possesses a (smooth) localization, then  $\mathcal{D}$  is called a *smooth double loop*.

(2.6) DEFINITION. Let  $\mathcal{D} = (D, 0, 1, +, \circ)$  be a smooth double loop. The group of all continuous automorphisms of  $\mathcal{D}$  lying in  $\text{Aut}^1(D, 0, +)$  is denoted by  $\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{D})$ .

Note that the last two definitions stress the peculiar role of the neutral element 0 of a double loop  $\mathcal{D}$ . The following proofs are based on the fact that the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{D})$  can be embedded in a linear group, which acts on the tangential space of the element 0.

(2.7) LEMMA. *If  $\mathcal{L} = (L, 0, +)$  is a connected smooth loop, then  $\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L})$  is a closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L})$  converging to an element  $\gamma$  in  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$ . Since the group  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$  is taken with the compact-open topology, the sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges pointwise to  $\gamma$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_U$  be a smooth localization of  $\mathcal{L}$  with associated canonical coordinate system  $h$  and induced loop  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{U}, 0, \oplus)$ . Because  $\gamma$  is a continuous map, there is a compact neighborhood  $K$  of 0 contained in  $U$  such that  $\gamma(K) \subseteq U$  holds. Because of  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = \gamma$  and the compactness of  $K$ , we may assume that  $\gamma_n(K) \subseteq U$  holds for every

$n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular, the mappings  $\Phi_{\gamma_n}$  are defined on the set  $K$ . Thus by Theorem (2.1), this implies that

$$\Phi_{\gamma_n}|_K = \Phi_{\gamma_n}'(0)|_K$$

holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Applying Corollary (2.3), we conclude that the sequence of the restricted functions  $(\Phi_{\gamma_n}|_{h(K)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges pointwise. Now, the set  $h(K)$  is a neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the mappings  $\Phi_{\gamma_n}'(0)$  are linear. Hence, the sequence  $(\Phi_{\gamma_n}'(0))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges in  $GL_n \mathbb{R}$  to a linear mapping  $\lambda$ . By definition of  $\gamma$  we infer that

$$\Phi_\gamma|_{h(K)} = \lambda|_{h(K)},$$

i.e. the map  $\Phi_\gamma$  is analytical on  $h(K)$ . Thus the automorphism  $\gamma$  is continuously differentiable on an appropriate neighborhood of 0, since  $h$  and  $h^{-1}$  are smooth mappings. Finally, this implies  $\gamma \in \text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L})$ , which proves the lemma.

As an immediate consequence of the last lemma we get the following result.

**(2.8) PROPOSITION.** *If  $\mathcal{D} = (D, 0, 1, +, \circ)$  is a connected smooth double loop, then the group  $\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{D})$  is locally compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{L} = (D, 0, +)$  be the additive loop of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Because of the representation  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}) = \{\gamma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}); \gamma(x \circ y) = \gamma(x) \circ \gamma(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in D\}$ , the group  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$  is a closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$ . By Lemma (2.7) this implies that the group  $\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{D})$ , which can be written as the intersection  $\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{D}) = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}) \cap \text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{L})$ , is closed in  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ . Since this last group is locally compact by [1], the claim of the proposition follows.

**(2.9) LEMMA.** *Let  $\mathcal{D} = (D, 0, 1, +, \circ)$  be a connected smooth double loop and let  $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{D})$ . Then there is a subsequence  $(\gamma'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that the set  $N := \{x \in D; \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{\gamma'_n} = 0\}$  is not dense in  $D$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Psi: \Gamma \rightarrow GL_n \mathbb{R}$  be the embedding of Corollary (2.3) associated to a fixed canonical coordinate system  $h: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with induced loop  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{U}, 0, \oplus)$ . Set  $\hat{D} := D \cup \{\infty\}$ .

Assume that  $N$  is dense in  $D$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}^* \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  be a star-shaped open neighborhood of 0 and set  $U^* := h^{-1}(\mathcal{U}^*)$ . Then  $\overline{U^* \cap N} = U^*$  and there are linearly independent vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  in  $h(U^* \cap N) \subseteq \mathcal{U}^*$ . Setting  $f_i := h^{-1}(e_i) \in U^*$  we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma'_n(f_i) = 0.$$

Applying Lemma (2.4) we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\gamma'_n)(e_i) = 0.$$

Since the mappings  $\Psi(\gamma'_n)$  are linear and the elements  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  form a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , this implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\gamma'_n)(x) = 0$$

holds for any  $x \in \mathcal{U}^*$ . Applying Lemma (2.4) once again, we infer that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma'_n(u) = 0$$

for any  $u \in U^*$ . Hence  $U^* \subseteq N$  and consequently  $U^{*-1} \subseteq N^{-1}$ . The set  $U^{*-1} \setminus \{\infty\}$  is open in  $D$  (see [2, XI.8.3]) and disjoint to  $N$ , since  $N \cap N^{-1} = \emptyset$ . But then  $N$  cannot be dense in  $D$ , a contradiction.

The following is the central result of this paper.

(2.10) THEOREM. *If  $\mathcal{D} = (D, 0, 1, +, \circ)$  is a connected smooth double loop, then the group  $\text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{D})$  is compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{D}_U = (D, U, 0, +)$  be a smooth localization of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Set  $\Gamma := \text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{D})$  and let  $\Psi: \text{Aut}^1(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n \mathbb{R}$  denote the embedding defined in Corollary (2.3) which corresponds to a fixed canonical coordinate system  $h$  of  $\mathcal{D}_U$ . Let  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{U}, 0, \oplus)$  be the induced loop of  $h$ .

First, we show that all orbits of  $\Gamma$  in  $D$  are bounded, i.e. they are relatively compact sets. Suppose that there is an unbounded orbit  $u^\Gamma$  in  $D$ . Then there exists a sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\Gamma$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u^{\gamma_n} = \infty$ . Setting  $v := u^{-1}$  this implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v^{\gamma_n} = 0$ . Similarly to the proof in [1] we may choose a subsequence  $(\gamma_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and a dense subset  $R$  in  $D$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{\gamma_n^*}$  exists in  $D$  for any  $x \in R$ . We denote this subsequence again by  $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Moreover, the set  $N := \{x \in D; \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{\gamma_n} = 0\}$  is not dense in  $D$  by Lemma (2.9). The mapping  $x \mapsto v \circ x: D \rightarrow D$  is a homeomorphism by the very definition of a double loop, since  $v \neq 0$ . Hence, also the set  $v \circ R$  is dense in  $D$ . But this implies that the set  $N$  is dense in  $D$ , because of the relation  $v \circ R \subseteq N$ . This, however, contradicts the result of Lemma (2.9). Consequently, all orbits of  $\Gamma$  are bounded in  $D$ .

By Lemma (2.4) and what was proved above there is a star-shaped neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}^* \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  such that the orbits  $w^{\Psi(\Gamma)}$  are bounded for every  $w \in \mathcal{U}^*$ . Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathcal{U}^*$  be linearly independent elements. In particular, the orbits of  $e_i$  are bounded. Thus there is a compact neighborhood  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  of 0 satisfying  $e_i^{\Psi(\Gamma)} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$  for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . The elements of  $\Psi(\Gamma)$  are linear mappings. Consequently, there is a neighborhood  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  of 0 with  $\mathcal{O}^{\Psi(\Gamma)} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ . If  $\mathcal{W}'$  is an arbitrary neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then by the compactness of  $\mathcal{K}$  there is a positive real number  $\delta$  such that  $\delta \mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{W}'$  holds.

This implies that

$$(\delta\mathcal{O})^{\Psi(\Gamma)} = \delta(\mathcal{O}^{\Psi(\Gamma)}) \subseteq \delta\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{W}'.$$

Being locally compact, the group  $\Psi(\Gamma)$  is closed in  $GL_n\mathbb{R}$ , and the compactness of  $\Psi(\Gamma)$  follows by the Arzela–Ascoli compactness criterion. Finally, the compactness of  $\Gamma$  follows from Corollary (2.3).

#### REFERENCES

1. Bödi, R., ‘Automorphism groups of locally compact connected double loops are locally compact’ (to appear in *Arch. Math.*).
2. Grundhöfer, T. and Salzmann, H., ‘Locally compact double loops and ternary fields,’ in *Quasigroups and Loops: Theory and Applications* (eds O. Chein *et al.*), Heldermann, Berlin, 1990.
3. Hofmann, K. H., ‘Topologische Loops’, *Math. Z.* **70** (1958), 13–37.
4. Holmes, J. P. and Sagle, A. A., ‘Analytic  $H$ -spaces, Campbell–Hausdorff formula, and alternative algebras’, *Pacific J. Math.* **91** (1980), 105–134.
5. Kelley, J. L., *General Topology*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1955.
6. Kozma, J., ‘Behaviour of loops in a canonical coordinate system’, *Arch. Math.* **55** (1990), 498–502.
7. Kozma, J., ‘On the differentiability of loopmultiplication in canonical coordinate-system’, *Publ. Math. Debrecen.* **37** (1990), 313–325.
8. Salzmann, H., ‘Kompakte 8-dimensionale projektive Ebenen mit großer Kollineationsgruppe’, *Math. Z.* **176** (1981), 345–357.

*Author’s address:*

Richard Bödi,  
 Mathematisches Institut,  
 Universität Tübingen,  
 Auf der Morgenstelle 10,  
 D-7400 Tübingen,  
 Germany.

(Received, August 22, 1992)