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## Abstract

Tandem repeat proteins are characterized by multiple sequentia copies of repeats with significant structural or sequence similarity. Tandem repeats evolve via repeat expansion, duplication and loss, and many protein families exhibit very diverse repeat counts. Identifying the complex relationships between homologous proteins and between individual repeats is a challenging task. Using tools developed in our group, we present a detailed phylogenetic analysis of the repeats in the Armadillo Repeat Protein (ArmRP) family.

The ArmRP family is very diverse, appearing throughout the eukaryotes and having a wide range of functions. They are wel characterized structurally, with $\sim 42$ amino acid repeats forming three alpha-helices which assemble into a solenoid structure. ArmRP are exciting candidates for protein design, as they hav been shown to bind peptides in a modular manner (Reichen 2016)

Phylogenetic analysis of tandem repeats has several unique challenges. Identifying homologous regions is complicated by the repetitive nature of the sequence, which can cause registe shifts when applying standard alignment tools. We surmount this problem using the Tandem Repeat Annotation Library (TRAL) a tool for accurately identifying repeats using circular profile hidden Markov models (Schaper 2015). After constructing multiple alignment of the repeats of ArmRP representatives, we infer a phylogenetic tree relating the different ArmRP and use to analyze the conservation and diversification patterns through evolution, based on the information about tandem repeat number, order and their distribution on phylogenies

## Armadillo Repeat Proteins



Armadillo repeat proteins (ArmRP) are composed of $\sim 40$ amino acid repeats forming a alpha solenoid structure. Each repeat contains three alpha helices, with a hydrophobic core and conserved binding residues along the third helix.
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Consensus Arm repeat. (Top) HMM logo based on a multiple
alignment of human Arm proteins by Gul (2017). Imase
alignment of human Arm proteins by Gul (211). Liple
(Leff) Repeat structure, showing hydrophobic core (grey) glycine turns (green), positive (blue), negative (red), and amidic
(magenta) conserved residues. Side chains in the binding ArmRP bind extended peptides, which often are disordered prior to binding. Two amino acids binding each repeat. The conserved Asn at position 39 of the repeat typically forms a hydrogen bond to the peptide backbone. Specificity is conferred by a shallow binding pocket between helix 3 of adjacent repeats, which binds the peptide side chain. However, many ArmRP show weak specificity and engage multiple partners.



## Tandem Repeat Annotation

One issue with identifying tandem repeats is that the first and last repeats are often truncated or atypical. With standard sequence alignment methods, this can lead to missing partial repeats and incorrect phase identification:

(Top) Protein with 3.5 repeats with rean bind and iterature. (Botom) repeat, and overlapping repeats.

Additionally, misalignments near the repeat boundaries can cause overlapping repeats which must be reconciled.

These issues are resolved in the Tandem Repeat Annotation Library (TRAL) (Schaper 2015). The library makes use of circular profile hidden Markov models (cpHMM) to capture TR profiles.


Gircular TR sequence profile HMM ( (cpHMM). The alignment starts in any position within deletions are included as in the HMMER model (black). Additional transitions are added from the end of a repeat back to the beginning (red) to align multiple repeats. The alignment

The cpHMM allows the TR region to start and end at any point in the repeat, making the phase inconsequential. Additionally, it prevents overlaps and provides a rigorous statistical model for dealing with insertions between and within repeats.
TRAL contains functionality for constructing a cpHMM from a set of possibly inconsistent or overlapping seed TR hits.

## Evolution

Determining the evolution of tandem repeat proteins is challenge. The repeats typically have significant homology (for instance, Asn39 is conserved in $51 \%$ of human ArmRP; Leu22 in $67 \%$ (Gul, 2017)). This leads to the hypothesis that most tandem repeats evolved through duplication and fusion events. A number of mechanisms exist for the duplication/fusion of repeats, both individually and collectively through gene duplication/fusion. Combining this with gene-level speciation and duplications creating paralogs can explain the complex relationships we see among TR families.
 speciation, giving identical TR orders in both species. b) both species undergo independent
whole-gene duplications, giving similar subtree patterns in both species. c) Both species undergo independent TR duplications showing different pattern,
hlogeneic tree for human karyopherin (KNA) proteins. Each protein has a 10 Arm repeats. Each repeat clusters together, indicating that the order of repeats has not changed


## Conclusions \& Outlook

We have shown that using cpHMM in TRAL we are able to improve our identification of ArmRP repeats. It is able capture the correct phase of the repeats, as well as handle partial terminal repeats.
Using the ArmRP alignment we construct phylogenetic trees of the repeats. Work continues to reconstruct detailed evolutionary histories for individual repeats. This will allow the identification of repeat duplication and loss across this complex family.
Work is also ongoing to incorporate duplication and fusion events into the model for phylogenetic inference. This would allow reconstruction of the evolutionary events leading to tandem repeats.

